

## Curriculum Vitae

**Dima MOHAMMED**

[d.mohammed@fcsn.unl.pt](mailto:d.mohammed@fcsn.unl.pt); [dima.mohammed@gmail.com](mailto:dima.mohammed@gmail.com)

Avenida de Berna 26 C, 4º piso, sala 4.01, 1161-069 Lisboa, Portugal

### CURRENT POSITION

**POSTDOC RESEARCHER** – FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

Institute of Philosophy (IFILNOVA), Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas (FCSH).  
– since Aug 2011

**ADJUNCT PROFESSOR** – Depart. Of Communication - FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

– since Sep 2018

### DEGREES

**PhD in Argumentation Theory. University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2009)**

Faculty of Humanities.

*Supervisors.*: F. H. van Eemeren, E.C.W. Krabbe, A. F. Snoeck Henkemans

*PhD Dissertation*: “The Honourable Gentleman Should Make Up His Mind”.  
Strategic Manoeuvring with Accusations of Inconsistency in Prime Minister’s  
Question Time. –Available [here](#)

**MA in in Philosophy. University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2005)**

MA Programme in Logic, Language and Argumentation. Faculty of Humanities.

*MA Thesis*: Towards a pragma-dialectical approach to negotiations (*cum laude*)

**BA in English Language and Literature. Birzeit University, Palestine (2002)**

Department of English Language and Literature, Faculty of Arts.

### ACADEMIC EXPERTISE AND RESEARCH INTERESTS

**Argumentation Theory**: Political argumentation, Rationality, Strategic Manoeuvring;

**Discourse Analysis**: Pragmatics, Critical discourse analysis, Conversation analysis;

**Communication**: Persuasion research; **Political Philosophy**: Deliberative democracy.

### PAST ACADEMIC POSITIONS

**Lecturer, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Feb – Aug 2011)**

Department of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric. Faculty of Humanities.

**Postdoc Researcher and Programme Manager, University of Lugano, Switzerland (Sep 2009 – Dec 2010)**

The Institute of Communication and Health. Faculty of Communication Sciences.

**Doctoral Researcher, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands (Oct 2005 – June 2009)**

Department of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric. Faculty of Humanities.

### AWARDS

**The 10<sup>th</sup> Santander Award for Collaborative Research 2017**, awarded for the project  
*The politics of Constraints: Discursive Strategies in a Three-Level Game*. (Co-  
recipients: Catherine Moury - IPRI and João Leite - NOVA-Links)

**The Best PhD Dissertation of 2009**, awarded by the Amsterdam School for Cultural  
Analysis (ASCA), Faculty of Humanities, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

## RESEARCH VISITS

**University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (UIUC), IL, USA** (*Sep - Dec 2016*). Department of Communication – Host professor: Sally Jackson

**University of California at Berkeley (UCB), CA, USA** (*Oct - Dec 2015*). Department of Philosophy – Host professor: John R. Searle

## TEACHING EXPERIENCE (*SELECTION*)

### **Filosofia de comunicação – since 2018**

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.  
Core BA Curricular Unit, mandatory for communication students.

### **Political Argumentation: Strategies and Critique – since 2014**

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.  
An elective MA course offered by the research units. Open to all students of NOVA FCSH.

### **Argumentação (Argumentation) – since 2017**

Instituto Universitário de Lisboa, Portugal.  
BA Curricular Unit: Epistemology and Fundamentals of Critical Thought (In Portuguese: UC epistemologia e fundamentos do pensamento crítico). Organised by Paula Castro.  
Mandatory course for psychology students.

### **Argumentation – a brief introduction – 2018**

Al-Quds Bard College - Palestine  
Guest lectures for BA students in the programme human rights and international law.

### **Public political arguments: Accountability and Deliberation – 2016**

University of Windsor, ON., Canada.  
Summer Institute on political argumentation: Objectivity and Bias in Political Discourse, organised by the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric (CRRAR) at the University of Windsor. Open to graduate students.

### **Argumentation, Communication and Persuasion – 2014-2016**

FCSH, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.  
An elective BA course offered by the research units. Open to all students of NOVA FCSH.

### **Theoretical Backgrounds and Approaches to the Study of Argumentation – 2011**

Faculty of Humanities, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.  
Research MA in Rhetoric, Argumentation Theory and Philosophy.

### **Introduction to Argumentation Theory – 2011**

College of Social sciences, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.  
Undergraduate Certificate Programme in Humanities and Social Sciences.

### **Argumentatie en Persuasie /Argumentation and Persuasion – 2011**

Faculty of Humanities, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.  
Bachelor Taal en communicatie (Bachelor Language and Communication).

### **Introduction to Argumentation – 2007-2009**

University College Utrecht, The Netherlands.  
Introduction to Academia. Academic Core Department

## PUBLICATIONS

### A- BOOKS

Mohammed, D. (2018). *Argumentation in Prime Minister's Question Time. Accusations of inconsistency in response to criticism*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

### B- EDITED VOLUMES

Mohammed, D., & Lewiński, M. (Eds.). (2016). *Argumentation and reasoned action: Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon, 2015*. London: College Publications. Available [here](#) and [here](#)

Lewiński, M., & D. Mohammed. (Eds.). (2015). *Argumentation in political deliberation* [Benjamins Current Topics, 76]. Amsterdam: John Benjamins (DOI: [10.1075/bct.76](#))

Mohammed, D., & Lewiński, M. (Eds.) (2013). *Virtues of argumentation*. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 22-26 May 2013. Windsor, ON: OSSA.

Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (Eds.). (2013). *Argumentation in political deliberation*. Special issue of *Journal of Argumentation in Context*, vol 2 (1). Amsterdam: John Benjamins (doi: [10.1075/jaic.2.1](#))

### C- ENCYCLOPAEDIA ENTRY

Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (2016). Argumentation Theory. The International Encyclopedia of Communication Theory and Philosophy. 1–15. John Wiley and Sons. (doi: [10.1002/9781118766804.wbiect198](#))

### C- ARTICLES IN PEER REVIEW JOURNAL

Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (forth.). The 2015 Paris Climate Conference: Arguing for the fragile consensus in global multilateral diplomacy. *Journal of Argumentation in Context*.

Mohammed, D. (2018.). Standing standpoints and argumentative associates. What is at stake in a public political argument? *Argumentation*. (doi: [10.1007/s10503-018-9473-y](#))

Lewiński, M., Hample, D., Sàágua, J., & Mohammed, D. (2018). Arguing in Portugal: A cross-cultural analysis. *Journal of International and Intercultural Communication*. (doi: [10.1080/17513057.2018.1450888](#))

Mohammed, D. (2016). Goals in Argumentation: A proposal for the analysis and evaluation of public political arguments. *Argumentation*, 30, 221–245. (doi: [10.1007/s10503-015-9370-6](#))

Uzelgun, M. A., Mohammed, D., Lewiński, M., & Castro, P. (2015). Managing disagreement through yes, but... constructions: An argumentative analysis. *Discourse Studies*, 17, 467–484. (doi: [10.1177/1461445615578965](#))

Mohammed, D. (2013). Pursuing multiple goals in European Parliamentary debates: EU immigration policies as a case in point. *Journal of Argumentation in Context*, 2(1), 47–74. (doi: [10.1075/jaic.2.1.03moh](#))

Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (2013). Introduction: Argumentation in political deliberation. *Journal of Argumentation in Context*, 2(1), 1–9. (DOI: [10.1075/jaic.2.1.00int](#))

Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (2012). Deliberate design or unintended consequences: The argumentative uses of Facebook during the Arab Spring. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 8(1), Article 11. –Available [here](#)

- Snoeck Henkemans, A. F., & Mohammed, D. (2012). Institutional constraints on strategic maneuvering in shared medical decision-making. In Rubinelli, Sara and A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans (Eds.), *Argumentation and Health: Special Issue of Journal of Argumentation in Context*, 1(1), 19–32. (doi: [10.1075/jaic.1.1.03moh](https://doi.org/10.1075/jaic.1.1.03moh)) [Reprinted in *Argumentation and Health* (Rubinelli & Snoeck Henkemans, Eds., 2014: pp. 20-32)]
- Mohammed, D. (2010a). Responding to criticism with accusations of inconsistency in Prime Minister's Question Time. *Controversia: The International Journal of discussion and democratic revival*, 7(1), 57-73.
- Mohammed, D. (2010b). Ruling out a standpoint by means of an accusation of inconsistency. *COGENCY Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation*, 2(1), 57-80.
- Mohammed, D. (2008). Institutional insights for analysing strategic manoeuvring in the British Prime Minister's Question Time. *Argumentation*, 22(3), 377-393. (doi: [10.1007/s10503-008-9090-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10503-008-9090-2))
- Mohammed, D. (2006). Comments on "Nonfallacious rhetorical strategies: Lyndon Johnson's daisy ad". *Argumentation*, 20(4), 443-445. (doi: [10.1007/s10503-007-9025-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10503-007-9025-3))

#### **D- BOOK CHAPTERS**

- Mohammed, D. (2018). Exercising accountability in European Parliamentary debates on statements: An argumentative perspective. In S. Oswald, J. Jacquin, & T. Herman (Eds.). *Argumentation and Language. Linguistic, cognitive and discursive explorations* (pp. 243-262). Springer Cham. (doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-73972-4\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73972-4_11))
- Mohammed, D. (2016). "It is true that security and Schengen go hand in hand". Strategic manoeuvring in the multi-layered activity type of European Parliamentary debates. In R. von Borg (Ed.), *Dialogues in Argumentation* (pp. 232-266). Windsor Studies in Argumentation. (doi: [10.22329/wsia.03.2016](https://doi.org/10.22329/wsia.03.2016))
- Mohammed, D. (2015). "The revolution must continue": Strategic maneuvering in post-Mubarak Egypt. In C. Palczewski (Ed.), *Disturbing Argument: Selected Works from the 18th NCA/AFA Alta Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 201-206). Routledge.
- Lewiński, M., & Mohammed, D. (2015). Tweeting the Arab Spring: Argumentative Polylogues in Digital Media. In C. Palczewski (Ed.), *Disturbing Argument: Selected Works from the 18th NCA/AFA Alta Conference on Argumentation* (pp. 291-297). Routledge.
- Snoeck Henkemans, A. F., & Mohammed, D. (2014). Institutional constraints on strategic maneuvering in shared medical decision-making. In S. Rubinelli & A. F. Snoeck Henkemans (Eds.), *Argumentation and Health* (pp. 20-32). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (doi: [10.1075/bct.64.03moh](https://doi.org/10.1075/bct.64.03moh)) [A reprint of Snoeck Henkemans & Mohammed (2012) in the *Special Issue of Journal of Argumentation in Context*, 1(1), 19–32]
- Mohammed, D., & Zarefsky, D. (2014). Pragma-dialectical analysis of rhetorical texts: The case of Barack Obama in Cairo. In D. Zarefsky, *Rhetorical Perspectives on Argumentation* (pp. 253–265). Amsterdam: Springer. [Reprint of Mohammed & Zarefsky (2011), in Feteris et al. (Eds.), *Keeping in touch with Pragma-Dialectics* (pp. 89–102)]
- Mohammed, D. (2013). Hit two birds with one stone: Strategic manoeuvring in the after-Mubarak era. In A. L. Sellami (Ed.), *Argumentation, Rhetoric, Debate and Pedagogy: Selected Works from the 2013 4th International Conference on Argumentation, Rhetoric, Debate, and Pedagogy* (pp. 95–116). Doha, January 11–13, 2013
- Mohammed, D., & Schulz, P. J. (2012). Argumentative insights for the analysis of direct-to-consumer advertising. In F. H. van Eemeren & B. Garssen (Eds.), *Exploring Argumentative Contexts* (pp. 255–268). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (doi: [10.1075/aic.4.15moh](https://doi.org/10.1075/aic.4.15moh))

- Mohammed, D., & Zarefsky, D. (2011) Pragma-dialectical analysis of rhetorical texts: The case of Barack Obama in Cairo. In E. T. Feteris, B. Garssen & F. Snoeck Henkemans (Eds.), *Keeping in touch with Pragma-Dialectics. In honor of Frans H. van Eemeren* (pp. 89–102). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (doi: [10.1075/z.163.07moh](https://doi.org/10.1075/z.163.07moh)) [Reprinted in Zarefsky (2014: pp. 253-265)]
- Mohammed, D. (2009). Manoeuvring strategically in Prime Minister’s Question Time. In F. H. van Eemeren (Ed.), *Examining Argumentation in Context* (pp. 171-190). Amsterdam: John Benjamins. (doi: [10.1075/aic.1.11moh](https://doi.org/10.1075/aic.1.11moh))
- Mohammed, D. (2008). Argumentative activity types: tracing the influence of institutional settings on arguers' strategic manoeuvring. In F. H. van Eemeren, D. C. Williams & I. Z. Zagar (Eds.), *Understanding Argumentation. Work in Progress* (pp. 55-67). Amsterdam: Sic Sat-Rozenberg. – available [here](#)

### **E- CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS**

- Mohammed, D. (forth.). Managing Argumentative Potential in the Networked Public Sphere: The Anti-#MeToo Manifesto as a Case in Point. Proceedings of the 9th conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation
- Mohammed, D. (2018). Arguing inter-issue in public political arguments. In S. Oswald & D. Maillat (Eds.), *Argumentation and inference: Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation, Fribourg, 2017*. (Vol. 2, pp. 509–524). London: College Publications.
- Weger, H. J., & Mohammed, D. (2018.) Strategic maneuvering in the background: How candidates encourage audience inference making through silent derogation in the background. In S. Oswald & D. Maillat (Eds.), *Argumentation and inference: Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation, Fribourg, 2017*. (Vol. 1, pp. 413-436). London: College Publications.
- Mohammed, D. (2016a). Not just rational, but also reasonable: critical testing in the service of external uses of public political arguments. In D. Mohammed & M. Lewiński (Eds.), *Argumentation and Reasoned Action: Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon, 2015 (Vol. I, pp. 499-514)*. London: College Publications.
- Mohammed, D. (2016b). What's in a good argument about evaluative claims? Argumentation in accountability practices. In Bondy, P., & Benacquista, L. (Eds.), *Argumentation, Objectivity, and Bias: Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 18-21 May 2016* (pp. 1-14). Windsor, ON.: OSSA.
- Mohammed, D. (2016c). Commentary on Weger, Hinck and Seiter’s Background nonverbal disagreement during televised political debates: A strategic maneuvering approach. In Bondy, P., & Benacquista, L. (Eds.), *Argumentation, Objectivity, and Bias: Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 18-21 May 2016* (pp. 1-3). Windsor, ON.: OSSA.
- Mohammed, D. (2013a). Rationality of argumentation aimed at multiple goals. In D. Mohammed and M. Lewiński (Eds.), *Virtues of Argumentation. Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 22-26 May 2013*. Windsor, ON.: OSSA.
- Mohammed, D. (2013b). Commentary on Marta Zampa’s “The editorial meeting discussion as an argumentative activity type”. In D. Mohammed and M. Lewiński (Eds.), *Virtues of Argumentation. Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 22-26 May 2013*. Windsor, ON.: OSSA.

- Mohammed, D. (2011a). Strategic manoeuvring in simultaneous discussions. In Zenker, F. (Ed.). *Argumentation: Cognition and Community. Proceedings of the 9th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, May 18-21, 2011 (pp. 1-11). Windsor, ON.: CD-ROM.
- Mohammed, D. (2011b). Commentary on "Fallacies: do we "use" them or "commit" them? Or: is all our life just a collection of fallacies?" by Igor Žagar. In Zenker, F. (Ed.). *Argumentation: Cognition and Community. Proceedings of the 9th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, May 18-21, 2011 (pp. 1-3). Windsor, ON.: CD-ROM.
- Mohammed, D. (2011c). The reasonableness of responding to criticism with accusations of inconsistency. In F. H. van Eemeren, B. Garssen, D. Godden & G. Mitchell (Eds.), *The Seventh Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)* (pp. 1313-1321). Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
- Mohammed, D., & Schulz, P. J. (2011). Argumentative insights for the analysis of direct-to-consumer advertising. In F. H. van Eemeren, B. Garssen, D. Godden & G. Mitchell (Eds.), *The Seventh Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
- Mohammed, D. (2009). Ad hominem as a derailment of confrontational strategic manoeuvring. In J. Ritola (Ed.), *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8th OSSA Conference*, Windsor, ON.: CD-ROM.
- Mohammed, D. (2007a). Argumentative activity types and the account of arguers' empirical aims. In J. Anthony Blair, H. Hansen, R. Johnson & C. Tindale (Eds.), *Dissensus and the search for common ground: Proceedings of the 7th OSSA Conference*, Windsor, ON.: CD-ROM OSSA.
- Mohammed, D. (2007b). Towards a pragma-dialectical approach to negotiation. In F. H. van Eemeren et al. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 6th conference of the international society for the study of argumentation* (pp 975 – 982). Amsterdam: Sic Sat.

## CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS (SELECTION)

- (2018e). Arguing the multiple issues in a networked public argument. Challenges and proposals. Presentation at the The 9th International Conference on Argumentation. 3-6 July 2018. Universiteit van Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- (2018d). Fluid boundaries, standing standpoints and argumentative allies. Proposals for the examination of networked public arguments. Presentation at the ArgLab research Colloquium. 28 May 2018. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.
- (2018c). A legitimação da austeridade: Portugal 2008-2016. Presentation at the IX Congresso de Ciência Política. April 2018. Braga, Portugal. (Co-author: Ânia Ataíde)
- (2018b). The explanation-justification distinction revisited: potential and challenges. Presentation at the IFILNOVA Values Seminar. 2 March 2018. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.
- (2018a) De-politicisation, re-politicisation and disagreement: An argumentative view on legitimation strategies. Presentation at the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Argumentation & Language (ARGAGE). 7-9 Feb. 2018. Lugano, Switzerland. (Co-author: Pellegrino Cammino & Ânia Ataíde)
- (2017d) Beyond austerity: de-politicisation, re-politicisation, and the discursive balance of conflicting expectations. An argumentative perspective. Presentation at the annual conference of the Network for European Social Policy Analysis (ESPAnet) 2017. 14-16 Sep 2017. Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal. (Co-author: Pellegrino Cammino)
- (2017c) Arguing for and against values. Presentation at the Values in Argumentation – Values of Argumentation conference. 28-29 June 2017. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal. (Co-author: Sally Jackson)

- (2017b) Strategic Maneuvering in the Background: How Candidates Encourage Audience Inference Making Through Silent Derogation in the Background. Presentation at the 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Inference. 20-23 June 2017. University of Fribourg, Switzerland. (Co-author: Harry Weger)
- (2017a) Arguing inter-issue: Inferences and commitments in a public political argument. Presentation at the 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Inference, 20-23 June 2017. University of Fribourg, Switzerland.
- (2016e) Arguing in response to competing demands: A social ontology perspective. Presentation at the Berkeley Social Ontology Group Seminar. 9 Nov 2016. University of California at Berkeley, California, USA.
- (2016d) Argumentation towards multilateral consensus on climate change: COP21 in Paris. Presentation at the 2016 Annual Scientific Meeting of the International Society of Political Psychology (ISPP). 13-16 July 2016. Warsaw, Poland. (Co-author: Marcin Lewiński)
- (2016c) Commentary on Weger, Hinck and Seiter's Background nonverbal disagreement during televised political debates: A strategic maneuvering approach. Presentation at the Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias. The 11th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). 18-23 May 2016. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.
- (2016b) What's in a good argument about evaluative claims? Argumentation in accountability practices. Presentation at the Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias. The 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). 18-23 May 2016. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.
- (2016a) Presumptions and the burden of proof in the argumentative exercise of political accountability. Presumptions, Presumptive Inferences and Burden of Proof. 26-28 April 2016. Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad Granada, Spain.
- (2015c) Exercising Accountability in European Parliamentary debates: An Argumentative perspective. Presentation at the International Conference on Argumentation & Language (ARGAGE). 9-11 Sep 2015. Lausanne, Switzerland.
- (2015b) Not just rational, but also reasonable: critical testing in the service of external uses of public political arguments. Presentation at the 1<sup>st</sup> European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Reasoned Action. 9-12 June 2015. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.
- (2015a) Political argumentation as practical argumentation: Multiple purposes, multiple parties. Presentation at the Argupolis II Final Event. 14-15 Jan 2015. Università della Svizzera Italiana. Lugano, Switzerland.
- (2014g) Considering multiple goals in examining public political arguments: European Parliamentary debates on data protection as a case in point. Presentation at the Mesa Redonda: "The Rhetorical Dimension of Argumentative Dialogue. Case studies". 11 Dec 2014. Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad Granada, Spain.
- (2014f) Accountability and deliberation in European Parliamentary debates: The simultaneous evaluative and practical arguments. Presentation at the IV International Workshop on Argumentation: Arguers, Arguing and Arguments. 23-24 Oct 2014. Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia. Madrid, Spain.
- (2014e) Discussing on the Move: Personal Reflections. Keynote speech at the Wake Forest University Mobility Symposium. 10 Oct 2014. Wake Forest University, NC, USA. Casa Artom, Venice, Italy.
- (2014d) Deliberating the European Union: What do MEPs actually do with practical arguments? Presentation at the 8th International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA) Conference. 1-4 July 2014. University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- (2014c) Argumentation in European Parliamentary debates: Between accountability and the deliberation of future courses of action. Presentation at the IPRI Lunch Seminar: Comparative Politics/International Relations. 6 June 2014. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

- (2014b) What shall we do about ... Europe? Practical arguments in European Parliamentary debates. Presentation at the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Rhetoric – “Days of Ivo Škarić”. 23 – 26 April 2014. Brač, Croatia.
- (2014a) Argumentation and political deliberation in the European parliament: On the interplay between practical claims and accountability claims. 28 March 2014. Presentation at the Faculdade de Letras, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal.
- (2013g) What do we do with practical arguments? Accountability in political discussions about future courses of action. Presentation at the international workshop on Argumentation and Rational Decisions. 5 Dec 2013. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.
- (2013f) ‘The revolution must continue’. Strategic manoeuvring in post-Mubarak Egypt. Presentation at the 18th NCA/AFA Conference on Argumentation (Alta). 1-4 Aug 2013. University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA.
- (2013e) Tweeting the Arab Spring: Argumentative Polylogues in Digital Media. Presentation at the 18th NCA/AFA Conference on Argumentation (Alta). 1-4 Aug 2013. University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA. (Co-author: Marcin Lewiński)
- (2013d) Rationality of argumentation aimed at multiple goals. Presentation at the Virtues of Argumentation. The 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). 22-15 May 2013. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.
- (2013c) Commentary on Marta Zampa’s “The editorial meeting discussion as an argumentative activity type”. Presentation at the Virtues of Argumentation. The 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). 22-25 May 2013. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.
- (2013b) Argumentation in political polylogues: A case study of the Arab Spring. Presentation at the International conference on “Contemporary Rhetorical Citizenship: Purposes, Practices, and Perspectives”. 15-18 Jan 2013. University of Copenhagen, Denmark. (Co-author: Marcin Lewiński)
- (2013a) Hit two birds with one stone: strategic manoeuvring in the after-Mubarak era. Presentation at the 4th Conference on Argumentation, Rhetoric, Debate and the Pedagogy of Empowerment: Thinking and Speaking a Better World. 11-13 Jan 2013. Qatar National Convention Center, Doha, Qatar.
- (2012d) Meanings of ‘opposition’ in argumentation: Reconstructing public deliberation in a dialectical framework. Presentation at the international colloquium on Meaning and Arguments in Context. 12 Oct 2012. NOVA FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal. (Co-author: Marcin Lewiński)
- (2012c) Disagreeing on the Same Side of the Barricade: Argumentation in Multi-party Political Discussions during the Arab Spring. Presentation at the international conference on Critical Approaches to Discourse Analysis Across Disciplines (CADAAD). 4-6 July 2012. University of Minho in Braga, Portugal. (Co-author: Marcin Lewiński)
- (2012b) Arguing in pursuit of multiple goals in European Parliamentary debates: Manoeuvring strategically in simultaneous discussions. Presentation at The 14<sup>th</sup> Biennial Wake Forest University Argumentation Conference in Venice. 25-28 June 2012. Venice, Italy.
- (2012a) Argumentation in European Parliamentary debates: strategic manoeuvring in pursuit of multiple goals. Presentation at the 1<sup>st</sup> Croatian International Conference on Rhetoric. 19-22 April 2012. Brač, Croatia.
- (2011b) Pursuing multiple aims in European Parliamentary debates: debating EU immigration policies as a case in point. Presentation at the international colloquium on Argumentation in Political Deliberation. 2 Sep 2011. FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.
- (2011a) Strategic Manoeuvring in Simultaneous Discussions. Presentation at the Argumentation: Cognition & Community, the Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation. 18-21 May 2011. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.

- (2010e) Ad populum in Direct-to-Consumer Advertising. The Munich-Lugano Colloquium. 5 November 2010. Università della Svizzera Italiana. Lugano, Switzerland.
- (2010d) Strategic Manoeuvring with Accusations of Inconsistency in Prime Minister's Question Time. Würzburg International Symposium on Dialogue in Politics. 13 – 15 Sep 2010. University of Würzburg, Germany.
- (2010c) Argumentative insights for the analysis of direct-to-consumer advertising. The 7th International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA) Conference. 29 June – 2 July 2010. University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands. (Co-author: P. J. Schulz)
- (2010b) The Reasonableness of Responding to Criticism with Accusations of Inconsistency. The 7th International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA) Conference. 29 June – 2 July 2010. University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- (2010a) Audience Adaptation in Direct-To-Consumer Advertising. The 13th Biennial Wake Forest Argumentation Conference. 19-21 March 2010. Wake Forest University. Winston-Salem, NC, USA. (Co-author: P. J. Schulz)
- (2009c) Argumentative Confrontations in Prime Minister's Question Time. Presentation at the 10<sup>th</sup> Amsterdam Lugano Colloquium. 28-29 Nov 2009. Università della Svizzera Italiana. Lugano, Switzerland.
- (2009b) Ad hominem as a derailment of confrontational strategic manoeuvring. Presentation at the Argument Cultures Conference, the Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation. 3-6 June 2009. University of Windsor, ON., Canada.
- (2009a) Silencing the Inconsistent Opposition in Prime Minister's Question Time. Presentation at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Rhetoric in Society Conference. 21-23 Jan 2009. Leiden University, The Netherlands.
- (2008) The (un)reasonableness of shutting out a point of view in Prime Minister's Question Time. Presentation at the Strategies in Argumentation Conference. 14 Feb 2008. Faculty of Philosophy, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.

## GRANTS AND SUBSIDIES

**Post-doctoral Grant** (*Jan - Dec 2018*): awarded by the FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

*A post-doctoral researcher in the strategic project of IFILNOVA.*

**Post-doctoral Grant** (*Jan 2012 – Dec 2014, extended till Dec 2017*): awarded by the Portuguese foundation for science and technology (FCT).

Research project: *Rationality of public political argument: the case of European parliamentary debates* [FCT Grant SFRH/BPD/76149/2011].

**Post-doctoral Grant** (*Aug - Dec 2011*): awarded by the FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

*A post-doctoral researcher in the FCT-funded project *Argumentation, Communication, and Context* [PTDC/FIL-FIL/110117/2009].*

**PhD Grant** (*Oct 2005 – June 2009*): Awarded by the Faculty of Humanities, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

PhD research in the research programme "Strategic manoeuvring in argumentative confrontations: Norms and criteria, manifestations and effects", funded by the Dutch Science Foundation (NWO) and carried out at the University of Amsterdam, 2005-2009, under the supervision of prof. dr. F. H. van Eemeren and P. Houtlosser.

**MA Grant** (*Sep 2003 – June 2005*): Awarded by the Office of Foreign Relations, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

MA in Logic, Language and Argumentation, Department of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric, Faculty of Humanities, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

## RESEARCH PROJECTS

### CURRENT

**Discursive management of political disagreement: Argumentative strategies and their consequences [DISARGUE] – PI.** Nov 2018- Oct 2020, international network project funded by the FCSH Call for exploratory international projects, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

**European network for Argumentation and Public PoLiCY analysis [APPLY] – Management Committee Member.** 2018-2022, funded by the Horizon 2020 Programme – COST ACTION, a European Network led by the FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**Ecological reasoning and decision making – Member.** 2017 – 2019, TUBITAK/0010/2014, FCT-funded institutional project in IFILNOVA, FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**Values in Argumentative Discourse – Member.** 2016 – 2019, PI: Erich Rast, PTDC/MHC-FIL/0521/2014, FCT-funded institutional project in IFILNOVA, FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**Democracy in times of crisis: Power and Discourse in a three-level game – Member.** 2016 – 2019, PI: Catherine Moury, PTDC/IVC-CPO/2247/2014, FCT-funded institutional project in IPRI, FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**The Politics of Constraints: Communicative Dissonances and their effects on citizens – Member.** 2017 – 2019, PI: Catherine Moury, exploratory international projects funded by the FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

### PAST

**The politics of Constraints: Discursive Strategies in a Three-Level Game – PI.** Oct 2017 – Sep 2018, funded by the Santander Award for Collaborative Research 2017 (Co-PIs: Catherine Moury and João Leite).

**Practical argumentation in the European energy and climate debates: Values, argumentative strategies, and discursive representations across Europe – Member.** Sep 2015 – Feb 2017, PI: Marcin Lewiński, exploratory international projects funded by the FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**Argumentation, Communication and Context – Member.** 2010 – 2014, PI: João Sàágua, PTDC/FIL-FIL/10117/2009, FCT-funded institutional project in IFILNOVA, FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

**Strategic manoeuvring in argumentative confrontations: Norms and criteria, manifestations and effects – Member.** 2005 – 2009, PI: F. H. van Eemeren and P. Houtlosser, NWO project no. 360-80-030. Institutional project, funded by the Netherlands Science Foundations and conducted at the University of Amsterdam, NL.

## EVENT ORGANISATION

### ArgLab Research Colloquium

*since 2012*

Bi-monthly international research colloquium of the Argumentation and Reasoning Lab. IFILNOVA - FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

– Organiser.

### Mobility and Argument in Motion

*02 July 2018*

One day workshop, organised prior to 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Argumentation (ISSA 2018). Universiteit van Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

- Co-Organiser (*with G. Thomas Goodnight & Alessandra von Burg*)

### **Values in Argumentation – Values of Argumentation**

28-29 June 2017

International Conference.

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

- Chair of the Organising Committee.

### **Facts in Argumentation**

19 June 2017

One day workshop, organised prior to 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation. University of Fribourg, Switzerland.

- Co-Organiser (*with Sally Jackson*)

### **1<sup>st</sup> European Conference on Argumentation: Argumentation and Reasoned Action (ECA Lisbon 2015)**

9-12 June 2015.

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

- Member of the Organising Committee.

### **Argumentation and Rational Decisions**

5 Dec 2013

International workshop. A collaboration between ArgLab-IFL and the European Conference on Argumentation (ECA).

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

- Co-Organiser (*with Marcin Lewiński*)

### **ArgLab Presents Argupolis in Lisbon**

23-25 October 2013

PhD Workshop "Argumentation, Communication and Context". A collaboration between ArgLab-IFL and *Argupolis* – Switzerland.

FCSH - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal.

- Organiser.

## **OTHER ACADEMIC ACTIVITIES**

### **EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERSHIP**

Since 2015: The Journal of Argumentation in Context. John Benjamins. <https://benjamins.com/#catalog/journals/jaic/main>

Since 2013: Controversia. An International Journal of Debate and Democratic Renewal. The academic journal of the International Debate Education Association (IDEA). IDEA Press. <http://controversia.idebate.org>

### **BOOK REVIEW EDITOR**

2013 – 2016: COGENCY. Journal of Reasoning and Argumentation - UDP. Centro de Estudios de la Argumentación y el Razonamiento - Universidad Diego Portales, Chile. <http://www.cogency.udp.cl/>

### **PEER REVIEWER**

Since 2017: Topoi. An International Review of Philosophy. ISSN: 0167-7411 (Print) 1572-8749 (Online). Springer, The Netherlands. <https://link.springer.com/journal/11245>

Since 2017: Critical Discourse Studies. ISSN: 1740-5904 (Print) 1740-5912 (Online). Taylor & Francis. <http://www.tandfonline.com/toc/rcds20/current>

Since 2015: Informal Logic (ISSN: 0824-2577). <http://www.informallogic.ca>. University of Windsor

Since 2011: Journal of Argumentation in Context, John Benjamins, The Netherlands. <https://benjamins.com/#catalog/journals/jaic/main>

Since 2006: Journal Argumentation, Springer, The Netherlands.  
<http://link.springer.com/journal/10503>

2017: Programme Committee of Argage 2018 – the second edition of the conference “Argumentation & Language”. Università della Svizzera italiana in Lugano, Switzerland.  
<http://www.argage2018.usi.ch>

2015: Program committee of Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias. The 11th Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA 2016). 18-21 May 2016, Windsor, ON, Canada. <http://www1.uwindsor.ca/ossa/>

2015: Scientific Committee of the International Conference “Argumentation & Language: Linguistic Markers, Discursive Processes and Cognitive Operations” (ARGAGE2015). University of Lausanne, Switzerland. <http://www3.unil.ch/wpmu/argage2015/>

2014: Program committee of Reasoning, Argumentation & Critical Thinking Instruction Conference (RACT2015). Lund University, Lund, Sweden.  
<http://ract2015.wordpress.com/>

#### **AWARD JURY MEMBER**

2013: Member of the Blair Prize Committee. The Committee that awards the best paper submitted by a PhD student to the OSSA 2013 Conference (The conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation). ON., Canada.

#### **PHD JURY MEMBER**

2017: External Examiner in the PhD jury of Alejandro Secades Gómez. Programa Oficial de Doctorado en Filosofía. Universidad de Granada, Spain.

#### **OTHER MEMBERSHIPS**

- **ECA** - The European Conference on Argumentation - Steering Committee member  
<http://ecargument.org/>
- **ISSA** – International Society for the Study of Argumentation <http://cf.hum.uva.nl/issa/>
- **ILIAS** – International Learned Institute for Argumentation Studies

#### **LANGUAGES**

Arabic (native), English (near-native), Portuguese (advanced), French (advanced), Dutch (intermediate).