

## Values in Argumentation – Values of Argumentation

<b>Day 1: Wednesday, 28 June 2017</b>		
<b>9:00-9:15</b>	<b>Introduction</b> <b>Prof. João Sàágua</b> <i>Vice-rector, Nova University of Lisbon</i> <b>Prof. António Marques</b> <i>Director, Nova Institute of Philosophy</i> <b>Dr. Erich Rast</b> <i>Principal Investigator Values in Argumentative Discourse project</i> <b>Dr. Dima Mohammed</b> <i>Conference organizer</i>	
<b>9:15-10:15</b>	<b>Keynote 1</b> <b>Jason Stanley</b> <i>Yale University (USA)</i>	The manipulation of value for political gain
<b>10:15-10:30</b>	<b>Coffee-break</b>	
<b>10:30-11:00</b>	<b>1: Dima Mohammed</b> <i>Nova University of Lisbon (Portugal)</i> <b>Sally Jackson</b> <i>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (USA)</i>	Arguing for and against values
<b>11:00-11:30</b>	<b>2: Teresa Marques</b> <i>University of Barcelona (Spain)</i>	Words, action, and conflict
<b>11:30-12:00</b>	<b>3: Bianca Cepollaro</b> <i>Nova University of Lisbon (Portugal)</i>	To ban or not to ban the banned words
<b>12:00-12:30</b>	<b>4: Hili Razinsky</b> <i>University of Lisbon (Portugal)</i>	Ambivalence informs the logic of value judgement and deliberation
<b>12:30-14:00</b>	<b>Lunch</b>	
<b>14:00-14:30</b>	<b>5: Patrick Bondy</b> <i>Brandon University (Canada)</i>	Reconciling non-epistemic reasons for belief and epistemic standards for argument evaluation
<b>14:30-15:00</b>	<b>6: Anders Herlitz</b> <i>University of Gothenburg (Sweden)</i> <b>Karim Sadek</b> <i>Ibn Khaldoun University (Turkey)</i>	Indeterminacy, rational choice, and public reasoning
<b>15:00-15:30</b>	<b>7: Frank Zenker</b> <i>Lund University (Sweden)</i> <b>Ulrike Hahn</b> <i>Birkbeck, University of London (UK)</i>	The strength of co-value argumentation
<b>15:30-16:00</b>	<b>8: Sanjay Modgil</b> <i>King's College London (UK)</i>	The role of logic based argument and dialogue in addressing the AI value loading problem
<b>16:00-16:30</b>	<b>Coffee-break</b>	
<b>16:30-17:00</b>	<b>9: Cristina Corredor</b> <i>University of Valladolid (Spain)</i>	Normative arguments, context of assessment and cooperative domain
<b>17:00-17:30</b>	<b>10: Ana Dimishkovska</b> <i>University Ss. Cyril and Methodius (Macedonia)</i>	Argumentation, justice and value pluralism
<b>17:30-18:00</b>	<b>11: Saliha Bayır</b> <i>Istanbul Technical University (Turkey)</i>	Naturalistic fallacy in Hume
<b>18:00-18:30</b>	<b>12: Henrique Jales Ribeiro</b> <i>University of Coimbra (Portugal)</i>	The values of argumentation and the threat from postmodernism and relativism
<b>18:30-19:30</b>	<b>Reception</b>	

## Values in Argumentation – Values of Argumentation

<b>Day 2: Thursday, 29 June 2017</b>		
<b>9:00-10:00</b>	<b>Keynote 2</b> <b>Christopher Tindale</b> <i>University of Windsor (Canada)</i>	Disrupting the course of civilization: How argumentation transforms reason
<b>10:00-10:30</b>	<b>Coffee-break</b>	
<b>10:30-11:00</b>	<b>13: Catherine Hundleby</b> <i>University of Windsor (Canada)</i>	Argumentation schemes and the value of ignorance
<b>11:00-11:30</b>	<b>14: Darrin Hicks</b> <i>University of Denver (USA)</i>	Argumentation and discretionary power
<b>11:30-12:00</b>	<b>15: Jean-Claude Guerrini</b> <i>Université de Lyon (France)</i>	Values as an argumentative resort. The axiological dimension of discourse in a crisis situation (France, November 2015)
<b>12:00-12:30</b>	<b>16: Scott Jacobs</b> <i>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (USA)</i>	The Silent Scream is a fallacious film that uses a demonstrative strategy that argumentation theory needs to take seriously
<b>12:30-14:00</b>	<b>Lunch</b>	
<b>14:00-14:30</b>	<b>17: Daniel H. Cohen</b> <i>Colby College (USA)</i>	Virtue argumentation, value argumentation, and the virtue of a thick skin
<b>14:30-15:00</b>	<b>18: Blake Scott</b> <i>University of Windsor (Canada)</i>	Beyond the efficacious: Evaluating values in the New Rhetoric
<b>15:00-15:30</b>	<b>19: Marija Snieckute</b> <i>University of Amsterdam (Netherlands)</i>	Populism and argument
<b>15:30-16:00</b>	<b>20: Paula Castro</b> <i>University Institute of Lisbon ISCTE-IUL (Portugal)</i>	The legal and the legitimate: Re-opening Antigone for revisiting the climate change and biodiversity debates
<b>16:00-16:30</b>	<b>Coffee-break</b>	
<b>16:30-17:00</b>	<b>21: Jingjing Wu</b> <i>Tilburg University (Netherlands)</i>	God, river, human rights: Can we justify river's rights by religious values?
<b>17:00-17:30</b>	<b>22: Maurizio Manzin</b> <i>University of Trento (Italy)</i>	From law and values to law as a value
<b>17:30-18:00</b>	<b>23: Salvatore Di Piazza / Francesca Piazza</b> <i>University of Palermo (Italy)</i> <b>Mauro Serra</b> <i>University of Salerno (Italy)</i>	How arguing about values? Some insights from Ancient Greek thought
<b>18:00-18:30</b>	<b>24: Christopher Roser</b> <i>Humboldt University, Berlin (Germany)</i>	Is success in argumentation a rational value? On Isocrates' and Plato's justification of argumentation
<b>18:30-19:00</b>	<b>25: Thomas Goodnight</b> <i>The University of Southern California (USA)</i>	Abstract and concrete values: Fields of justification
<b>19:00-20:00</b>	<b>Closing reception</b>	